SINGLE BENCH

C.S. 1001

4

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3378 /2006

PETITIONER

Smt. Yasheshwari Dhruv, aged about 42 years, wife of Shri M.L. Dhruv, working as Asstt Professor, Government Girls College, Durg, R/o Qtr No. 3-C, Street No.16, Sector 6, Bhilai, Distt. Durg (C.G.)

P.R. No. 3441/06
Presented by Shri Sunil Sahu
dated 10/7/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of Higher Education, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Deputy Secretary, Dept of Higher Education, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)
3. The Director, Department of Higher Education, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED-COPY
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR 10/7/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

68

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WPC No. 3378/06 सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	12-7-2006:- Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents, on advance copy. Heard both the counsel. The main grievance raised by the petitioner in this writ petition is that she has been transferred considering her as surplus Assistant Professor whereas, she is not surplus. She is working against a regular sanctioned post and there are other vacant posts also. Apart from that, the petitioner's husband is working as Senior Technician in the Bhilai Steel Plant, therefore, the principle that husband and wife should remain posted at one place as far as possible has not been considered by the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in the circumstances, the petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent No.1 and in turn respondent No.1 be directed to decide the same to which learned counsel for respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merit of the case, prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable, therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation along with copy of this petition to respondent No.1 within a period of 5 days and in turn respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation within another 3 weeks from the date of receipt of the representation, in an objective manner, considering all the points raised by the petitioner, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.(W.)P. No.2598/2006 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Barve

(पीछे देखिये)